

## Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

75 Pleasant Street, Malden, Massachusetts 02148-4906

Telephone: (781) 338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay 1-800-439-2370

Jeffrey C. Riley Commissioner

December 21, 2021

Dr. Rebecca McFall, Superintendent Lincoln Public Schools 6 Ballfield Road Lincoln, MA 01773

Re: Tiered Focused Monitoring Report for Special Education and Civil Rights

Dear Superintendent McFall:

The Office of Public School Monitoring recently conducted a Tier 1 Tiered Focused Monitoring (TFM) Review in your district. During this review, the Department monitored selected special education and civil rights criteria to determine your district's compliance with laws and regulations.

We are pleased to tell you that the Department has found your district to be in compliance with all of the criteria monitored during the TFM Review and no corrective action is required at this time.

Enclosed you will find a copy of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Report. To access the report on the Department's website, please visit <a href="http://www.doe.mass.edu">http://www.doe.mass.edu</a> and select Security Portal then PQA WebMonitoring. Continue by selecting Home > PSM Tier Review > Feedback/CIMP > View TFM Report. The Tiered Focused Monitoring Toolkit, which includes the regulatory requirements specific to the special education and civil rights criteria referenced in this report, can be found at <a href="http://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/default.html">http://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/default.html</a>.

In closing, we would like to thank the administration and staff who shared their time and thoughts so generously during the preparation and onsite phases of the review, and we commend you on your commitment and diligence in the areas reviewed under TFM. Special thanks are given to Mary Emmons for coordinating this visit for the district. Should you need any additional information or assistance, please do not hesitate to contact Erin VandeVeer at (781) 338-3735.

Sincerely

Tiered Focused Monitoring Review Chairperson

Office of Public School Monitoring

Amy Pauly, Assistant Director

Office of Public School Monitoring

cc: Tara Mitchell, School Committee Chairperson

Mary Emmons, Local Monitoring Review Coordinator



### **Lincoln Public Schools**

## **Tiered Focused Monitoring Report**

For Group B Universal Standards Tier Level 1

Dates of Onsite Visit: November 16-17, 2021

Date of Final Report: December 21, 2021



Jeffrey C. Riley Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education

# MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING REPORT

#### **Lincoln Public Schools**

REPORT INTRODUCTION 3
TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING FINAL REPORT 6
DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS 7
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS 9

## MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION REPORT INTRODUCTION

During the 2021-2022 school year, Lincoln Public Schools participated in a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review (TFM) conducted by the Department's Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM). The purpose of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review is to monitor compliance with regulatory requirements focusing on special education and civil rights. The Department is pleased to report that the district was found to be in compliance with all criteria reviewed; no corrective action is required at this time.

Each school district, charter school, vocational school, and virtual school undergoes a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review every three years. The statewide Tiered Focused Monitoring cycle is posted at <a href="https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/6yrcycle.htm">https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/6yrcycle.htm</a>.

Regularly monitored standards are divided into two groups, known as Group A Universal Standards and Group B Universal Standards. Districts and schools are monitored on an alternate set of Universal Standards every three years.

Group A Universal Standards address:

- Student identification
- IEP development
- Programming and support services
- Equal opportunity

#### Group B Universal Standards address:

- Licensure and professional development
- Parent/student/community engagement
- Facilities and classroom observations
- Oversight
- Time and learning
- Equal access

The Department has also reserved a specific set of criteria, collectively known as Targeted Standards, employed if LEA or school level risk assessment data indicate there is a potential issue; the identified Targeted Standards are assessed in addition to the Universal Standards.

Universal Standards and Targeted Standards are aligned with the following regulations:

#### Special Education (SE)

 Selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education's Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

• Selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA); Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational

Template Version 120621

Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.

- Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education's Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
- Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education's Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
- Various requirements under other federal and state laws.

#### PSM Team:

Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of special education programs to be reviewed, a team of one to four Department staff members conducts onsite activities over one to five days in a school district or charter school.

#### Tier Level:

The level of monitoring varies based on tier designation, aligning supports to the level of need and ensuring that districts and schools with greater needs receive appropriate supports to make sustained improvements.

Tier	Title	Description	Level of Risk
1	Self-Directed Improvement	Data points indicate no concern on compliance and student outcomes.	Meets requirements
2	Directed Improvement	No demonstrated risk in areas with close link to student outcomes.	Low
3	Corrective Action	Areas of concern include both compliance and student outcomes.	Moderate
4	Cross-unit Support and Corrective Action	Areas of concern have a profound effect on student outcomes and ongoing compliance.	High

For the 2021-2022 school year, the tier assignments are based on:

- Five-year cohort graduation rate for students with disabilities
- Public School Monitoring compliance data from the previous review
- Problem Resolution System data, specifically findings of noncompliance
- Special education State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Reports (SPP/APR) compliance Indicator data for Indicators 11, 12, and 13 (Group A only)
  - o Indicator 11: Child Find
  - o Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition
  - o Indicator 13: Secondary Transition
- Special education SPP/APR performance Indicator data for Indicators 5 & 6
  - o Indicator 5: Education Environments (6-21)
  - o Indicator 6: Preschool Environments
- Significant Disproportionality data 2019-2020 & 2020-2021

Template Version 120621

Tiering adjustments may be made for districts engaged in work with the Department's Statewide System of Support and have schools identified as requiring assistance and intervention. Tiering assignments may also be adjusted for schools and districts unable to remedy noncompliance within one year of the previous TFM review, as well as for charter schools requiring additional oversight based on conditions of For more information regarding the TFM Review Process, including district and parent resources, please visit < https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/default.html>.

#### TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING FINAL REPORT

#### **Lincoln Public Schools**

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education conducted a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review in Lincoln Public Schools during the week of November 15, 2021, to evaluate the implementation of Group B Universal Standards in the program areas of special education, civil rights, and other related general education requirements. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities, and to review the programs underway in the district.

The onsite team would like to commend the following area that was brought to its attention and that it believes has a significant and positive impact on the delivery of educational services for students enrolled in the district:

Lincoln Public Schools (LPS) serves students in Pre-K through grade eight across two campuses. The Lincoln campus includes students participating in the METCO program and the Hanscom campus serves Hanscom Air Force Base, where the annual churn rate is approximately one-third of the student body. Document review, interviews, and observations indicate the district is committed to rigorous institutional self-evaluation practices evident by the comprehensive equity audit conducted during the 2020-21 school year. The audit examined the district schools in relation to race and equity, and resulted in immediate actions to address findings, including policy changes and a comprehensive multi-year action plan.

The district's equity audit was conducted by internal groups of diverse stakeholders that formed the Lincoln AIDE (Antiracism, Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity) and Advisory Group (LAAG), along with support from external organizations. The goal was to understand the extent to which organizational structures, including policies, practices, systems, behaviors, and culture, impact equitable outcomes for students. The equity audit embraced survey data from students, families, staff, and faculty. It also included data from 12 sessions of affinity-based focus groups that included 72 participants representative of students, family members, and staff. The final 40-page report included a review and rating of 19 areas using a five-point scale, along with recommendations for each area.

The district immediately utilized recommendations from the audit to generate a multi-year AIDE Action Plan to inform the district's strategic plan and action plans specific to each campus that include the following:

- 1) LAAG to continue work on developing inclusive language, terms, and definitions for the district and school community to embrace.
- 2) Focus on faculty and staff inclusion, sense of belonging, and diversification through regular listening sessions with faculty and staff of color, as well as participation in the Department's year-long Teacher Diversification Professional Learning Community (PLC).
- 3) Assess progress through periodic data collection from staff, families, and students using surveys, focus groups, equity walks, public forums, and unsolicited feedback. Report findings, conclusions, and additional action steps.
- 4) Increase the depth of data analysis by examining student assessment outcomes based on shared characteristics, such as race or gender, explore the allocation of interventions, and identify necessary adjustments in curriculum and approach.

Template Version 120621

5) Collaborate with the School Committee to adopt an Antiracism Policy that seeks to prevent and remedy racially inequitable outcomes and all forms of discrimination for staff, students, and families.

In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

#### **Self-Assessment Phase:**

- District review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads.
- Upon completion of the self-assessment, the district submitted the data to the Department for review.

#### **On-site Phase:**

- Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
- Review of additional documents for special education and civil rights.
- Surveys of parents of students in special education: Parents of students in special education were sent a survey that solicited information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
- Observations of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visited a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

#### **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS**

Commendable

Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly

beyond the requirements of law or regulation.

**Implemented** 

The requirement is substantially met in all important

aspects.

**Implementation in Progress** 

This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements; the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

**Partially Implemented** 

The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is

not entirely met.

**Not Implemented** 

The requirement is totally or substantially not met.

Not Applicable

The requirement does not apply to the school district or

charter school.

## Lincoln Public Schools\_

Universal Standards

Civil Rights and
Other General
Education
Requirements

CR 25

#### **SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS**

	Universal Standards Special Education	Universal Standards  Civil Rights and Other  General Education  Requirements
IMPLEMENTED	SE 15, SE 32, SE 35, SE 36, SE 50, SE 51, SE 52, SE 54, SE 55, SE 56	CR 3, CR 7, CR 7A, CR 7B, CR 8, CR 10A, CR 10B, CR 10C, CR 12A, CR 17A, CR 20, CR 21, CR 22, CR 23, CR 24
PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED	None	
NOT IMPLEMENTED	None	
NOT APPLICABLE	SE 52A	CR 7C, CR 16

The full list of criteria and information regarding the requirements can be found in Appendix B of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Toolkit available at <- <a href="https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/tfm-toolkit.docx">https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/tfm-toolkit.docx</a>>.