

### **DRAFT Indicator Rubric for Superintendent Evaluation**

The **Indicator Rubric for Superintendent Evaluation** is an evaluation tool intended to support a shared understanding of effective leadership practice between a superintendent and school committee members.

Designed around the 21 Indicators from the <u>Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership</u> (603 CMR 35.04), the Indicator Rubric includes descriptions of a superintendent's practice for each *Indicator* and articulates the specific responsibilities that a school committee may be expected to reasonably evaluate. This is a significant departure from the more detailed, *element*-level rubrics associated with other educator roles in the model system for educator evaluation.

While this structural difference results in a shorter, less complex evaluation tool, it does *not* simplify the responsibilities of a superintendent. All 21 Indicators associated with the four Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership remain in place, and the superintendent is still expected to meet expectations associated with each Standard, typically assessed by focusing on one to two Indicators per Standard each year. Describing practice at the Indicator level rather than at the element level acknowledges the following unique components of an educator evaluation process conducted by a school committee:

- The Role of the School Committee: The school committee's role is governance, rather than management. A school committee thereby focuses on the what and the why (governance) of superintendent leadership, rather than the how (management). The Indicator Rubric does the same.
- The Composition of a School Committee: The school committee as "evaluator" is comprised of multiple individuals, rather than a single evaluator. This demands consensus building, a process made exponentially easier when focused around fewer descriptors of practice.
- The Focus of a School Committee: School committee members, many of whom are often non-educators, focus primarily on the outcome of a superintendent's work, rather than the details of implementation. The Indicator Rubric guides committee members to maintain this focus.
- A *Public* Process. The superintendent's evaluation is the only educator evaluation conducted in public. The Indicator Rubric includes the practices to which a committee can reasonably be expected to have access or insight, such that the public process of collecting and evaluating evidence may be conducted with transparency and integrity.

**The 2019-2020 Rubric Pilot.** DESE is supporting a **year-long pilot of the draft Indicator Rubric** to evaluate its use and impact on the superintendent evaluation process. The objectives of the pilot include:

- ✓ Assess the implementation of the rubric by superintendents and school committees. Is it accessible and relevant to all involved?
- ✓ Assess the impact of the rubric. Does it promote a comprehensive evaluation of superintendent practice? Does it support consistency and transparency in aspects of the evaluation process, including analyzing evidence, providing feedback, and using professional judgment to determine ratings?

DESE will collect input from pilot districts through a qualitative survey and interview process. For more information on participating as a pilot district, please contact Claire Abbott at <a href="mailto:cabbott@doe.mass.edu">cabbott@doe.mass.edu</a>.

### **STANDARD I: Instructional Leadership**

The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

|                  | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|
| I-A: Curriculum  | Does not ensure the implementation of standards-based units of instruction across the district (e.g. fails to provide adequate resources or training).   | Ensures that most instructional staff implement standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons, but curricula in some schools or content areas lack appropriate rigor or alignment to state standards.   | Monitors and assesses progress across all schools and content areas to ensure that all instructional staff implement effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.   | Empowers administrators to ensure all instructional staff collaboratively plan, adapt as needed, and implement standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons aligned to state standards and local curricula. Continually monitors and assesses progress, and provides additional supports as needed. Models this practice for others.  |
| I-B: Instruction | Does not ensure that instructional practices across schools and content areas reflect high expectations for teaching and learning, are engaging and motivating, or meet the diverse learning of all students; or establishes inappropriately low expectations for teaching and learning. | Supports instructional practices in some schools or content areas that reflect high expectations, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness; but allows lower expectations and/or insufficiently engaging instruction to persist in parts of the district. | Monitors and supports principals and instructional staff through observations and feedback to ensure that instructional practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. | Sets high expectations for the content and quality of instruction and empowers all administrators to do the same, such that instructional practices throughout the district are engaging, inclusive, and personalized to accommodate diverse learning needs of all students. Stays informed of new, evidenced-based instructional practices and provides resources and supports to implement them as needed. Monitors principals and instructional staff in support of these practices through observations and feedback. |



|                 | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|-----------------|--|--|--|---|
| I-C: Assessment | Does not set expectations around or ensure the use of a variety of formal and informal assessment methods throughout the district.   | Encourages most principals and administrators to facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measures student learning, growth, and understanding, but assessment use and analysis is inconsistent throughout the district.  | Monitors and supports principals and instructional staff through observations and feedback to ensure that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.   | Empowers teams of administrators and instructional staff to use a comprehensive system of informal and formal assessment methods to measure each student's learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards, and to use findings to adjust instructional practice and implement appropriate interventions and enhancements for students. Ensures alignment of assessments to content and grade level standards, and monitors administrators' efforts and successes in this area. Models this practice for others.  |
| I-D: Evaluation | Does not supervise and evaluate administrators in alignment with state regulations or contract provisions, such that:  • Administrators' goals are neither SMART nor aligned to school and/or district goals, and/or  • Administrators rarely provide quality supervision and evaluation to other staff; and/or  • Administrators are rarely, if ever, observed and provided with feedback on their own leadership practice. | Supervises and evaluates administrators in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, but:  Some administrator goals may not be SMART or aligned to school and district priorities; and/or  Observations of and feedback to staff by other administrators is inconsistent or nonspecific; and/or  Observations of and feedback to administrators by the superintendent are inconsistent or nonspecific. | Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, as evidenced by:  • Support to all administrators in developing SMART goals aligned to school and district priorities,  • Guidance, support and monitoring for all administrators to ensure they observe and provide useful feedback to faculty and staff, and  • Frequent observations of and feedback to administrators on effective leadership practice. | Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, as evidenced by:  • Support to all administrators to develop and attain SMART goals aligned to school and district priorities, and sharing best practices and success with the district community;  • Guidance, support and monitoring for all administrators to ensure they observe and provide useful feedback to faculty and staff;  • Frequent observations of and feedback to administrators on effective leadership practice. Models this process through the superintendent's own evaluation process and goals. |

|                                       | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| I-E: Data-Informed<br>Decision Making | Gathers limited information on district strengths and weaknesses and writes district and annual action plans that lack data-informed goals.  | Identifies multiple sources of evidence related to student learning to assess the district's strengths and areas for improvement, but these data are not comprehensive and/or analysis is insufficient, such that district and annual action plans lack focused or measurable goals. | Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. Regularly monitors and shares progress with the community. Supports principals to align school improvement goals to district plans and goals. | Leads administrator teams in identifying and using multiple sources of evidence including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, educator evaluation data, district culture and climate information, to assess and communicate the district's strengths and areas for improvement. Involves stakeholders in the creation of district improvement and annual action plans comprised of measurable, results-oriented goals, and empowers principals to develop and implement similarly robust and aligned school plans and goals. Regularly monitors and shares progress with the community. |
|                                       | <u> </u>   | student learning based on multiple<br>ssments and statewide student grov   | measures of student learning, growth, wth measures where available.   | and achievement, including  |
| I-F: Student Learning                 | There are no associated performance descriptors for the Student Learning Indicator. For administrators, evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account by the evaluator(s) when determining a performance rating for Standard I. Evaluators and educators should identify the most appropriate assessments of student learning and anticipate student learning gains associated with those measures when developing the Educator Plan. For superintendents and other district leaders, multiple measures of student learning might include (but should not be limited to) statewide assessments, assessments from curricular materials used in multiple schools, district-created common assessments, or others measures that provide information about student learning across the district. |  |   |   |



### **STANDARD II: Management and Operations**

Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.

|                   | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|-------------------|---|--|--|---|
| II-A. Environment | Fails to establish plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems that address the safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students throughout the district, such that schools and other buildings are not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe. | Oversees plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems that address the safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students, but allows for variation in implementation and/or quality across the district, such that not all students have equitable access to clean, safe, and supportive learning environments. | Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students throughout the district, as evidenced by:  • orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess;  • school and district buildings that are clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe; and  • safe and supportive learning environments for all students. | Empowers all administrators to develop and execute effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs of all students throughout the district, as evidenced by:  • orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess;  • school and district buildings that are clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe; and  • safe and supportive learning environments for all students.  Assesses efficacy using feedback from students, staff, and families, and other data sources, and makes adjustments as necessary.  Models this practice for others. |



|   | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| II-B. Human Resources<br>Management &<br>Development      | Does not implement any formal processes for the recruitment and hiring of faculty and staff, and/or fails to provide sufficient induction, development, or career growth supports to educators, as evidenced by an inability to reliably hire and retain educators that meet the learning needs of district students. | Oversees processes for recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth, but systems are inadequately or inconsistently implemented throughout the district, and/or do not consistently promote the hiring, retention, and support of a diverse, effective educator workforce.                   | Monitors and supports the implementation of a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice, as evidenced by districtwide systems that support:  Hiring and retaining a diverse workforce;  Comprehensive induction supports for new educators;  Job-embedded professional development aligned with district goals; and  Distributed leadership opportunities to support educator career growth. | Ensures a districtwide system for recruiting, hiring, and retaining an effective and diverse workforce of administrators and educators who share the district's mission and meet the learning needs of all students, as evidenced by:  • comprehensive induction supports for all new educators;  • job-embedded professional learning that (a) reinforces district goals, (b) results in high-quality and effective practice; and  • formalized distributed leadership and career growth opportunities.  Empowers all administrators to implement these systems consistently. |
| II-C. Scheduling and<br>Management<br>Information Systems | Does not implement systems to ensure optimal use of time for teaching, learning, or collaboration, such that instructional time is inadequate and/or routinely disrupted, and administrators have limited to no opportunities to collaborate around meaningful practice.  | Encourages the use of scheduling and management information systems that promote time for teaching and learning, but does not monitor efficacy throughout the district and/or allows for frequent schedule disruptions; provides inadequate time for administrators to collaborate around leadership practice. | Uses systems to ensure optimal use of time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, as evidenced by:  • school schedules that maximize student access to quality instructional time and minimize school day disruptions; and  • regular opportunities for administrators to collaborate.   | Empowers administrators and teams to contribute to the design and monitoring of district systems that maximize access to quality instructional time for all students, and minimize disruptions and distractions for all school-level staff. Supports ongoing administrator collaboration within and across schools.  |



|                                    | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| II-D. Laws, Ethics and<br>Policies | Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements, and/or fails to adhere to ethical guidelines.                 | May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements, but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies, and/or ethical guidelines.  | Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines, and provides the resources and support to ensure district-wide compliance.  | Provides the resources and support for all school personnel to understand and comply with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. Models this practice for others.  |
| II-E. Fiscal Systems               | Develops a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources. Does not communicate budget rationale or financial short falls to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, or the school committee. | Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals, and/or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources. Does not effectively communicate budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee. | Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources; and effectively communicates budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee. Provides regular updates on implementation of the budget. | Leads the administrator team to develop a district budget aligned with the district's vision, mission, and goals that addresses the needs of all students. Allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/schoollevel goals, and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Proactively communicates budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee. Provides regular updates on implementation of the budget. |



### **STANDARD III: Family and Community Engagement**

Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.

|                               | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| III-A. Engagement             | Does little to welcome families as members of the district, classroom or school community, or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families. | Invites families to participate in the classroom and school community, but efforts are limited or insufficient, leading to limited family involvement throughout the district.   | Promotes, monitors and supports comprehensive, culturally responsive and collaborative engagement practices that welcome and encourage every family to actively participate in the classroom and school community, and engages community stakeholders to contribute to the classroom, school, and community's effectiveness.   | Ensures that all personnel to use culturally responsive and collaborative practices that engage all families to contribute to district, classroom, school, and community effectiveness, including but not limited to families with limited access to technology, and families whose home language is not English. Actively engages stakeholders from all segments of the community, including residents, municipal officials, and business leaders, in furthering the mission of the school and the district. Models this practice for others. |
| III-B. Sharing Responsibility | Does not ensure that administrators are identifying student learning and development needs and working with families to address them.                        | Encourages administrators to work with families to address students struggling academically or behaviorally, but does not consistently monitor these activities to ensure that student needs are being met, either within or outside of schools. | Monitors adherence to district-wide policies and practices that promote continuous collaboration with families to support student learning and development both at home and at school, as evidenced by:  • the collaborative identification of each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs; and • connecting families to the necessary resources and services within the school and the community to meet students' learning needs. | Empowers all administrators to regularly collaborate with families to address each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, and to access as needed necessary services within and outside of schools to address those needs. Monitors these processes to ensure all student needs are being met. Models this practice for others.  |



|                        | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| III-C. Communication   | Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators regarding regular or culturally sensitive communication with families, and/or allows culturally insensitive, inappropriate, or disrespectful communications with families to occur. District communication regarding student learning and performance occurs primarily through school report cards. | May set expectations regarding regular, two-way, culturally proficient communications with families, but allows occasional communications that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values. District communication primarily occurs through school newsletters and other oneway media. | Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance, that is provided in multiple formats and reflects understanding of and respect for different families' home languages, culture, and values.                                 | Supports and empowers all administrators to engage in regular, two-way, culturally responsive communications with families about student learning and performance. District-wide communications with families are provided in multiple formats and respect and affirm different families' home languages, culture, and values.   |
| III-D. Family Concerns | Does not address most family concerns in a timely or effective manner, fails to provide systems or supports for administrators to do the same, and/or allows responses to be inconsistent or insufficient. Resolutions are often not in the best interest of students.   | Ensures that most family concerns are addressed as they arise, but responsiveness is inconsistent across the district. Supports administrators to reach solutions to family concerns, but may not ensure equitable resolutions that are in the best interest of students.  | Addresses family concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner, and supports administrators to seek equitable resolutions to both academic and non-academic concerns that (a) reflect relevant information from all parties including families, faculty, and staff, and (b) are in the best interest of students. | Ensures that all family concerns are addressed in a timely and effective manner throughout the district; empowers administrators to proactively respond as academic or non-academic concerns arise; and promotes collaborative problem solving processes informed by relevant input from all families, faculty, and staff that result in equitable solutions that are in the best interest of students. Models this practice for others. |



### **STANDARD IV: Professional Culture**

Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.

|                                       | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| IV-A. Commitment to<br>High Standards | Does not encourage high standards of teaching and learning or high expectations for achievement among administrators, as evidenced by:  1. a failure to develop or articulate the district's mission or core values; and 2. an inability or unwillingness to lead administrator leadership meetings that inform school and district matters. | May articulate high standards for teaching and learning, but expectations are inconsistently applied throughout the district, as evidenced by:  1. Mission and core values are present but may not reflect district-wide buy-in, or are rarely used to inform decision-making.  2. Meetings: Leads administrator leadership meetings that address matters of consequence but may not result in meaningful decision-making. | Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all, including:  1. Mission and core values:    Develops, promotes, and secures staff commitment to core values that guide the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision-making.  2. Meetings: Plans and leads well-run and engaging meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations about important school matters. | Established a district-wide commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all, including:  1. Mission and core values:     Collaborates with educators and community members to develop, secure and/or promote core values and an aligned mission, and to use them to guide decision making.  2. Meetings: Empowers administrators to share responsibility for leading team meetings that address important district matters, and foster collaborative learning and problem-solving around instructional leadership issues.  Models this practice for others. |



|                               | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| IV-B. Cultural<br>Proficiency | Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies and practices, does not support administrators and staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or fosters a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences. | Takes pride in having a diverse administration, faculty and/or student body, but some policies and practices are not culturally responsive; and/or provides limited resources for administrators to support the development of culturally responsive learning environments and school culture that affirms individual differences. | Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected, as evidenced by the sufficient provision of guidance, supports, and resources to all schools to promote culturally responsive learning environments and school cultures that affirm individual differences of both students and staff. | Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally responsive policies and practices that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Empowers administrators with time, resources, and supports to build culturally responsive learning environments and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Models this practice for others. |
| IV-C. Communications          | Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times, such that staff, families and community members, and/or the school committee lack accurate or sufficient information.                                       | Demonstrates adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills, but outreach to staff, families and community members, and/or the school committee may be inconsistent or unclear.  | Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills, as evidenced by regular and informative outreach to staff, families and community members, and the school committee in a manner that advances the work of the district. Regularly seeks and considers feedback in decision-making.   | Utilizes and models strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Actively seeks and incorporates feedback into decision-making and in communicating rationale for the decisions to staff, family, community members and school committee.   |



|                              | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| IV-D. Continuous<br>Learning | Accepts the practice of administrators working largely in isolation, without consideration of data and best practices. Does not reflect on leadership practice or demonstrate new ways of thinking about administration and leadership.                                | Encourages administrators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of instruction and student learning and use data and best practices to adapt practice, but does not monitor administrators in these practices to ensure consistency or efficacy. Occasionally reflects on their own leadership practice.  | Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt instruction and achieve improved results, as evidenced by:  Supporting regular opportunities for administrators and teams to reflect on and collaborate around the effectiveness of a wide range of practices related to instruction and student learning, and Engaging in their own continuous learning to improve leadership practice.  Models these behaviors in their own practice. | Develops, nurtures, and models a culture in which all staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt instruction and achieve improved results, as evidenced by:  • Empowering all administrators to collaborate and share knowledge and skills of best practices that improve student learning within their own buildings, and  • Regularly reflecting on and improving their own leadership practice in order to develop new approaches to improve overall district effectiveness. |
| IV-E. Shared Vision          | Does not engage stakeholders in the creation of or commitment to a shared educational vision, such that the vision is not one in which all students will be prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become responsible citizens and community contributors. | Engages some administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing and committing to a shared educational vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, responsible citizenship, and community contributions, but stakeholder engagement is limited and/or the vision is unrepresentative of the district community. | Continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of (or commitment to) a shared educational vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, community contributions, and responsible citizenship. <sup>1</sup>  | Leads administrators, staff, students of all ages, families, and community members to develop and internalize a shared educational vision around student preparation for college and careers, civic engagement, community contributions, and responsible citizenship. Ensures alignment of school and district goals to this vision. Models this practice for others.  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The original Indicator language is modified in this rubric to reflect a more expansive definition of student success that is inclusive of college and career readiness. This definition is reflected in the other model rubrics as well as Massachusetts policies and initiatives to improve outcomes for all students.

### **DRAFT Indicator Rubric for Superintendents**

|                            | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| IV-F. Managing<br>Conflict | Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not address conflict in a solutions-oriented or respectful manner. Does not attempt or fails to build consensus within the district and school communities. | Responds respectfully to most cases of disagreement and dissent, but employs only a limited range of strategies to resolve conflict and build consensus within the district and school communities, with varying degrees of success. | Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict, and building consensus throughout district and school communities, while maintaining a commitment to decisions that are in the best interest of all students. | Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, and resolves conflicts in a constructive manner such that all parties are able to move forward productively. Regularly strives to achieve consensus within the district and school communities, while maintaining a commitment to decisions that are in the best interest of all students. Empowers and supports administrators to use these approaches in their own leadership. |





### **Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation**

Part III: Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator, and Teacher

**Appendix A. Superintendent Rubric** 

January 2012



### **Guide to Superintendent Rubric**

Rubrics – defined in the regulations as "scoring tool[s] that describe characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance" (603 CMR 35.02) – are a critical component of the Massachusetts educator evaluation framework and are required for every educator. Rubrics are designed to help educators and evaluators (1) develop a consistent, shared understanding of what proficient performance looks like in practice, (2) develop a common terminology and structure to organize evidence, and (3) make informed professional judgments about formative and summative performance ratings on each Standard and overall. This appendix contains the ESE Model Superintendent Rubric.

### **Structure of the Superintendent Rubric**

- Standards: Standards are the broad categories of knowledge, skills, and performance of effective practice detailed in the regulations. There are four Standards for all administrators: *Instructional Leadership; Management and Operations; Family and Community Engagement;* and *Professional Culture.*
- Indicators: Indicators, also detailed in the regulations, describe specific knowledge, skills, and performance for each Standard. For example, there are five Indicators in Standard I of the Superintendent rubric including *Curriculum*, *Instruction*, and *Evaluation*.
- Elements: The elements are more specific descriptions of actions and behaviors related to each Indicator. The elements further break down the Indicators into more specific aspects of educator practice and provide an opportunity for evaluators to offer detailed feedback that serves as a roadmap for improvement.
- Descriptors: Performance descriptors are observable and measurable statements of educator actions and behaviors aligned to each element and serve as the basis for identifying the level of teaching or administrative performance in one of four categories: Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, or Exemplary.

#### **Use of the Superintendent Rubric**

This rubric describes administrative leadership practice at the district level. It is intended to be used throughout the 5 step evaluation cycle for the evaluation of the superintendent by the school committee. This rubric can also be used by the superintendent for the evaluation of other district level administrators, such as assistant superintendents, directors of curriculum and instruction, school business administrators, and directors of special education.

The responsibilities of administrators to whom this rubric will be applied may vary. ESE encourages administrators and evaluators to use the rubric strategically by discussing and agreeing upon certain Indicators and elements that should be high priorities according to that administrator's role and responsibilities as well as his/her professional practice, student learning, and district and/or school improvement goals. There are a variety of ways to emphasize these components throughout the evaluation cycle. For example, high priority Indicators and/or elements can be analyzed in greater depth during self-assessment, targeted during goal setting, a focus for more comprehensive evidence collection, or all of the above. However, the expectation is that by the end of the evaluation cycle, administrators and evaluators have gathered and shared a reasonable amount of evidence on every Indicator to support a rating for each Standard.



### **Superintendent Rubric At-A-Glance**

| Standard I:<br>Instructional Leadership  | Standard II:<br>Management & Operations   | Standard III:<br>Family and Community<br>Engagement  | Standard IV:<br>Professional Culture   |
|--|---|--|--|
| A. Curriculum Indicator  1. Standards-Based Unit Design 2. Lesson Development Support  | A. Environment Indicator     1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines     2. Operational Systems     3. Student Safety, Health, and   | A. Engagement Indicator     1. Family Engagement     2. Community and Business     Engagement                    | A. Commitment to High Standards Indicator  1. Commitment to High Standards 2. Mission and Core Values 3. Meetings                          |
| B. Instruction Indicator 1. Instructional Practices 2. Quality of Effort & Work 3. Diverse Learners' Needs   | B. Human Resources Management & Development Indicator     1. Recruitment & Hiring Strategies     2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies | <ul><li>B. Sharing Responsibility Indicator</li><li>1. Student Support</li><li>2. Family Collaboration</li></ul> | B. Cultural Proficiency Indicator     1. Policies and Practices  |
| C. Assessment Indicator  1. Variety of Assessments  2. Adjustment to Practice  | C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems Indicator 1. Time for Teaching and Learning 2. Time for Collaboration  | C. Communication Indicator  1. Two-Way Communication  2. Culturally Proficient Communication                     | C. Communications Indicator  1. Communication Skills   |
| D. Evaluation Indicator  1. Educator Goals 2. Observation s & Feedback 3. Ratings 4. Alignment Review  | <ul><li>D. Law, Ethics &amp; Policies Indicator</li><li>1. Laws and Policies</li><li>2. Ethical Behavior</li></ul>  | D. Family Concerns Indicator  1. Family Concerns   | <ul><li>D. Continuous Learning Indicator</li><li>1. Continuous Learning of Staff</li><li>2. Continuous Learning of Administrator</li></ul> |
| E. Data-Informed Decision Making Indicator  1. Knowledge & Use of Data  2. School and District Goals  3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning | E. Fiscal Systems Indicator  1. Fiscal Systems  |  | E. Shared Vision Indicator  1. Shared Vision Development   |
|  |   |  | F. Managing Conflict Indicator  1. Response to Disagreement 2. Conflict Resolution 3. Consensus Building                                   |



**Standard I: Instructional Leadership.** The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

Indicator I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.

| I-A. Elements                                | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| I-A-1.<br>Standards-<br>Based Unit<br>Design | Does not set the expectation that administrators use effective strategies for ensuring development of well-designed standards-based units, provide adequate resources or support for this activity, and/or monitor or assess progress.  | Provides limited training and/or support to administrators to employ effective strategies for ensuring well-designed standards-based units. May sometimes monitor and assess progress and provide feedback.  | Provides support and assistance for administrators to learn and employ effective strategies for ensuring that educators and educator teams design standards-based units with measurable outcomes and challenging tasks requiring higher-order thinking. Frequently monitors and assesses progress, providing feedback as necessary. | Empowers administrators to employ strategies that empower staff to create rigorous standards-based units of instruction that are aligned across grade levels and content areas. Continually monitors and assesses progress, provides feedback, and connects administrators to additional supports as needed. Is able to model this element.                                       |
| I-A-2.<br>Lesson<br>Development<br>Support   | Does not state expectations for administrators that they establish effective strategies to ensure development of well-structured lessons, does not provide training or support, and/or does not discriminate between strong and weak strategies for ensuring effective lesson-planning practices. | Provides limited training to administrators on how to establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons and/or does not consistently identify and/or address patterns when there is evidence of a weak strategy being employed. | Supports administrators to learn and establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, technologies, and grouping.   | Supports administrators to collaborate on developing strategies that enable educators to consistently develop series of interconnected, well-structured lessons with challenging objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, materials, and grouping and identifies specific exemplars and resources in each area. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.

| I-B. Elements                              | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| I-B-1.<br>Instructional<br>Practices       | Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies when the principals observe practice and review unit plans | While the superintendent may observe principal practice and artifacts, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.                              | While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals identify a variety of effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.                                | While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals know and employ effective strategies and practices for helping educators improve instructional practice. Is able to model this element.                          |
| I-B-2.<br>Quality of<br>Effort and<br>Work | Does not set high expectations for<br>the quality of content, student effort,<br>and/or student work district-wide, or<br>expectations are inappropriate.                              | May set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide, but allows expectations to be inconsistently applied across the district.   | Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and supports administrators to uphold these expectations consistently.  | Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and empowers administrators, educators and students to uphold these expectations consistently. Is able to model this element. |
| I-B-3.<br>Diverse<br>Learners'<br>Needs    | Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners.   | While the superintendent may observe principal practice, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans. | While observing principal practice, ensures that principals look for and identify a variety of teaching strategies and practices that are effective with diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans. | Employs strategies that ensure that principals know and consistently identify teaching strategies and practices that are meeting the needs of diverse learners while teaching their content. Is able to model this element.                |



Indicator I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.

| I-C. Elements                       | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| I-C-1.<br>Variety of<br>Assessments | Does not communicate or monitor a strategy for assessments, leaving it up to administrators to design and implement their own strategies. | Provides administrators with some formal assessment options and suggests that they coordinate their assessment practices within their teams and include a variety of assessments but does not monitor this practice. | Supports administrator teams to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments, including common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas.   | Leads administrator teams to develop and implement a comprehensive assessment strategy that includes ongoing informal assessment and common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas. Is able to model this element. |
| I-C-2.<br>Adjustment to<br>Practice | Does not encourage or facilitate administrator teams to review assessment data.   | Suggests that administrator teams meet to review data and plan for adjustments and interventions but inconsistently monitors this practice.  | Provides the resources for planning time and effective support for administrator teams to review assessment data and identify appropriate interventions and adjustments to practice.  Monitors administrators' efforts and successes in this area. | Leads, plans, facilitates, and supports administrator team review meetings after each round of assessments. Monitors teams' plans, adjustments to instruction, and outcomes and shares lessons learned with others. Is able to model this element.     |



### Indicator I-D. Evaluation: Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.

| I-D. Elements                          | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| I-D-1.<br>Educator<br>Goals            | Does not support administrators to develop professional practice, student learning and/or district/school improvement goals, review the goals for quality, and/or support administrators in attaining goals. | Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop professional practice, student learning and, where appropriate, district/school improvement goals but does not consistently review them for quality and/or monitor progress.                         | Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning, and where appropriate, district/school improvement goals.   | Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning and district/school improvement goals and models this process through the superintendent's own evaluation process and goals. Is able to model this element.  |
| I-D-2.<br>Observations<br>and Feedback | Rarely conducts visits to observe principal practice and/or does not provide honest feedback to administrators who are not performing proficiently.  | Makes infrequent unannounced visits to schools to observe principal practice, rarely provides feedback that is specific and constructive for administrators, and/or critiques struggling administrators without providing support to improve their performance. | Typically makes at least three unannounced visits to each school to observe principal practice every year and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Acknowledges effective practice and provides redirection and support for those whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> . | Makes unannounced visits to schools throughout the year to observe administrator practice and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Engages with all in conversations with all administrators about improvement, celebrates effective practice, and provides targeted support to administrators whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> . Is able to model this element. |
| I-D-3.<br>Ratings                      | Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning without collecting and analyzing sufficient and/or appropriate data or does not assign ratings for some administrators.     | Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning in a way that is not consistently transparent to administrators.   | Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning and ensures that administrators understand why they received their ratings.   | Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning. Ensures that administrators understand in detail why they received their ratings and provides effective support around this practice Is able to model this element.  |



| I-D. Elements                 | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| I-D-4.<br>Alignment<br>Review | Does not review alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators. | Occasionally reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data. | Consistently reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data and provides guidance to administrators to make informed decisions about educator support and evaluation based upon this review. | Studies alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators and provides effective support around this practice. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.

| I-E. Elements  | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| I-E-1.<br>Knowledge<br>and Use of<br>Data                      | Relies on too few data sources to represent the full picture of school or district performance, and/or does not analyze the data accurately.  | May work with administrators to identify multiple sources of student learning data, but these data do not provide multiple perspectives on performance, and/or analysis of the data is sometimes inaccurate. | Guides administrators and supports them in identifying a range of appropriate data sources and effectively analyze the data for decision-making purposes.   | Leads administrator teams to identify a range of appropriate data sources, including non-traditional information that offers a unique perspective on school and district performance, and models effective data analysis for staff. Is able to model this element.   |
| I-E-2.<br>School and<br>District Goals                         | Gathers limited information on school and district strengths and weaknesses and/or does not use these data to inform district plans or actions.   | Assesses school and district strengths and weaknesses using data that are not carefully analyzed and/or writes an unfocused strategic plan.  | Uses data to accurately assess school and district strengths and areas for improvement to inform the creation of focused, measurable district goals.  Provides support to principals in their efforts to create focused, measurable school goals.                   | Involves stakeholders in a comprehensive diagnosis of school and district strengths and weaknesses using appropriate data, and leads a collaborative process to develop a focused, results-oriented strategic plan with annual goals. Is able to model this element.   |
| I-E-3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning | Does not share assessment data with administrators or provide them with resources and support to use data to make adjustments to school or district plans, and/or model appropriate data analysis strategies. | Shares limited data with administrators to identify student and/or educator subgroups that need support; provides limited assistance to administrator teams in using data to improve performance.            | Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support. | Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support. Empowers educators to use a range of data sources to pinpoint areas for their own and schoolwide improvement. Is able to model this element. |



**Standard II: Management and Operations.** Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling

Indicator II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs.

|   | range of safety, fleatin, and t   |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| II-A.<br>Elements   | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
| II-A-1.<br>Plans,<br>Procedures,<br>and Routines                              | Does not organize the district effectively for orderly and efficient movement of students.  | May establish plans, procedures, and routines to guide administrators, but student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess are not consistently orderly and/or efficient.  | Develops systems, plans, procedures, and routines for administrators to implement that generally ensure orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess.  | Establishes systems, plans, procedures, and routines that empower administrators, students and staff to implement orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess. Is able to model this element.   |
| II-A-2.<br>Operational<br>Systems   | Fails to establish systems and procedures to support custodial and/or other staff, so that the campus is not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, and/or safe.   | Develops systems and procedures that result in inconsistent supervision and/or support of custodial and other staff, resulting in a campus that is not consistently clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe.  | Develops systems and procedures for the effective supervision and support of custodial, clerical, food services, and other staff effectively so that the campus is clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe.   | Creates and maintains a district environment in which custodial and other staff take personal responsibility for keeping the campus clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe. Is able to model this element.   |
| II-A-3.<br>Student<br>Safety, Health,<br>and Social<br>and Emotional<br>Needs | Does not develop consistent procedures for student discipline; district disciplinary practice varies from school to school; often tolerates discipline violations and/or enforces district policies or procedures inconsistently. | May urge administrators to demand good student behavior but allows varying standards to exist in different schools. Supervises and supports administrators in addressing student discipline and bullying matters on a case-by-case basis in the absence of a system of procedures and consequences. | Supports administrator teams in developing systems and procedures for positive student behavior; models high expectations for student behavior and provides appropriate training for administrators to uphold these expectations. Establishes district-wide routines and consequences, including policies and systems to prevent and address bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being. | Guides administrators and teams to develop practices that consistently showcase high expectations for student behavior and invest staff and students in upholding these expectations. Successfully implements district-wide routines and consequences such that students take ownership over addressing bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.

| development, and career growth that promotes high-quant                   |  |  | y and enective practice.  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| II-B.<br>Elements   | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
| II-B-1.<br>Recruitment<br>and Hiring<br>Strategies                        | Does not successfully lead the recruitment and hiring process.   | Leads the recruitment and hiring process but does not consistently identify effective administrators and educators.  | Leads the district's recruitment and hiring process and, through it, consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission.   | Consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission.  Empowers administrators and faculty members to share in a structured, consistent interview process. Is able to model this element.   |
| II-B-2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies | Does not support new administrators, provide guidance to them to support educators, organize high-quality jobembedded professional development, and/or support the career growth of effective educators. | Develops only a limited district-wide induction program for new administrators and teachers and/or inconsistently implements the district's induction strategy; organizes job-embedded professional development that is not consistently high quality or aligned with goals; and/or does not consistently support effective administrators' and educators' career growth. Does not establish criteria for the awarding of professional status. | Develops district-wide induction support for new administrators and teachers and/or faithfully implements the district's induction strategy; organizes high-quality job-embedded professional development aligned with district goals; and supports the career growth of effective professional personnel by distributing leadership tasks, developing criteria for the awarding of professional status, and monitoring progress and development. | Facilitates the administrator-led design and implementation of induction support, job-embedded professional development, and career growth support all of which are aligned with district goals; are consistently viewed by professional personnel as effective and helpful, and provide multiple opportunities for administrator and educator growth and learning. Leads the administrator team in developing district criteria for the awarding of professional status. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.

|   | rearring, and conductation, minimizing distributions and distractions for school-level stail.   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| II-C.<br>Elements                               | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary   |
| II-C-1.<br>Time for<br>Teaching and<br>Learning | Does little to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.   | Generally acts to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.  | Creates schedules, procedures and related systems that maximize instructional time and minimize school day disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals; and consistently monitors the extent to which these systems are effective   | Empowers administrators and teams to contribute to the design and monitoring of district systems that maximize instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for all school-level staff. Is able to model this element.                          |
| II-C-2.<br>Time for<br>Collaboration            | Sets unrealistic expectations for administrator team meetings if at all and/or does not create a schedule that provides adequate meeting time for teams. Does not work to prevent or deflect time-wasting activities. Does not establish norms for the administrator team meetings. | Sets inconsistent expectations for administrator team meetings and/or creates a schedule that only provides adequate meeting time for some team meetings. Works to prevent or deflect activities with limited success. Norms for team behavior are unclear and/or not consistently practiced. | Sets expectations for administrator team meetings and creates a schedule that provides sufficient meeting time for all team meetings. Prevents or deflects activities that interfere with administrators' ability to focus on the agenda during team time. Establishes norms for effective team behavior. | Is transparent and forthcoming about expectations for all administrator team meetings; creates and implements a schedule that maximizes meeting time for all team members. Collaborates with team members to develop team norms. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.

| II-D.<br>Elements               | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| II-D-1.<br>Laws and<br>Policies | Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements. | May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements, but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies.   | Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Provides the resources and support to ensure district-wide compliance.             | Provides the resources and support for all school personnel to understand and comply with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Is able to model this element.              |
| II-D-2.<br>Ethical<br>Behavior  | Demonstrates lack of sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness and/or does not adequately protect administrator, student, family, and/or staff confidentiality.            | Generally demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness with occasional lapses in judgment and/or does not always protect administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately. | Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately; and expects all district personnel to reflect this practice. | Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately. Effectively supports all staff to do both as well. Is able to model this element. |

Indicator II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.

|                              | experioritares consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.         |  |  |   |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| II-E. Elements               | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |  |
| II-E-1.<br>Fiscal<br>Systems | Builds a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources. | Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources. | Develops a budget that aligns with<br>the district's vision, mission, and<br>goals. Allocates and manages<br>expenditures consistent with<br>district/school-level goals and<br>available resources. | Leads the administrator team to develop a district budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals with supporting rationale; uses budget limitations to create new opportunities for improvement, when possible; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals; and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Is able to model this element. |  |



**Standard III: Family and Community Engagement.** Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.

Indicator III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can

contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district and community.

| III-A.<br>Elements                                  | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| III-A-1.<br>Family<br>Engagement                    | Does little to welcome families as members of the district, classroom or school community or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families.   | May provide some resources and support and make some attempts to welcome families as members of the district, classroom and school community but does not consistently use culturally sensitive practices and/or work to identify and remove barriers to family involvement. | Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices to ensure that all families are welcome and can contribute to the district, classroom, school and community's effectiveness. Works with administrators to identify and remove barriers to families' involvement, including families whose home language is not English. | Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices and successfully engages most families, ensuring that all families are welcome and can contribute to district, classroom, school, and community effectiveness. Works with administrators, families, and organizations to identify and remove barriers to family involvement, including families whose home language is not English. Is able to model this element. |
| III-A-2.<br>Community<br>and Business<br>Engagement | Limits work to the immediate context of the schools. Does not make efforts to reach out to community organizations, community members, or businesses that could otherwise contribute to district effectiveness. | Engages some community organizations, community members, and/or businesses in annual district events but does not make efforts to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.   | Establishes ongoing relationships with community organizations, community members, and businesses. Engages them to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.  | Establishes strategic partnerships with community organizations, community members, and businesses that improve district effectiveness. Works to increase the types and number of organizations with whom the district partners in order to deepen relationships and increase partner contribution. Is able to model this element.  |



Indicator III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.

|                                     | and development at nome, school, and in the community.  |  |   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| III-B.<br>Elements                  | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient  | Exemplary  |  |
| III-B-1.<br>Student<br>Support      | Does not work with administrators to support educators to identify student needs, does not work with administrators to support families to address student needs, and/or does not draw upon internal or external resources. | Asks administrators to identify students struggling academically or behaviorally and/or work with a limited number of families to address student needs, utilizing a limited set of resources.   | Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to address student needs, utilizing resources within and outside of the district. | Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to effectively address student needs and prevent further challenges, connecting students with a network of resources within and outside the district. Is able to model this element. |  |
| III-B-2.<br>Family<br>Collaboration | Does not set clear expectations or provide support for administrators to regularly communicate with families on ways to support their children's learning at home and at school.  | Sets general expectations and provides occasional support for administrators to engage families in supporting their children's learning at school and at home and/or supporting their children with disabilities or limited English proficiency. | Sets clear expectations for and supports administrators to regularly engage families in supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency.   | Sets clear expectations and provides differentiated resources to support administrators to consistently and regularly engage all families in supporting their children's learning at school and home, including families and children with limited English proficiency and/or children with disabilities. Is able to model this element.   |  |



Indicator III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.

| III-C.<br>Elements   | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement  | Proficient   | Exemplary   |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| III-C-1.<br>Two-Way<br>Communica-<br>tion                  | Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators to communicate with families. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school report cards.                    | May set expectations for and provide limited support to administrators to communicate with families but does not stress the importance of two-way communication channels. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school newsletters and other one-way media. | Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators to communicate regularly with families using two-way communication channels, including careful and prompt response to communications from families. Supports administrators to maximize the number of face-to-face family/teacher interactions. | Sets clear expectations for and provides differentiated support to ensure that all administrators design and implement frequent personalized communications, respond carefully and promptly to communications from families, and solicit feedback from families that informs improvement to communication plans. Is able to model this element. |
| III-C-2.<br>Culturally<br>Proficient<br>Communica-<br>tion | Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication and/or allows inappropriate disrespectful communication with families that ignores different family cultural norms. | May set expectations for administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication but does not provide support to them; and/or occasionally communicates in ways that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values.  | Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values.          | Sets clear expectations for, models, and provides differentiated support regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding and appreciation of different families' home language, culture, and values. Is able to model this element.    |

| Indic               | ndicator III-D, Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. |   |   |  |  |
|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|                     | III-D.<br>lements  | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
| III-D<br>Fam<br>Con |  | Fails to provide systems and support for personnel to consistently reach out to families in response to concerns, and agreed-upon solutions are often not in the best interest of students. | May systems and support to address concerns with families as they arise, but agreed-upon solutions are not always in the best interest of students. | Provides systems, and support for administrators to reach out to families as concerns arise and works to reach equitable solutions in the best interest of students. | Provides system and support for all school personnel to reach out to families proactively, as soon as concerns arise. Effectively reaches equitable solutions that satisfy families, faculty, and staff and are in the best interest of students. Is able to model this element. |



**Standard IV: Professional Culture.** Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.

Indicator IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.

IV-A. Unsatisfactory **Needs Improvement Proficient** Exemplary **Elements** IV-A-1. Does not encourage high standards May ask administrators for Fosters a shared commitment to Leads administrators in developing a of teaching and learning or high commitment to high standards of high standards of teaching and shared commitment to high standards Commitment expectations for achievement with the teaching and learning with high learning, for all administrators, of teaching and learning with high to High administrator team, and/or may expectations for achievement for all with high expectations for expectations for achievement for all. Standards demonstrate low expectations for but does not support and/or model it. achievement for all. Revisits and renews commitment with faculty and staff. administrator team regularly. Is able to model this element. IV-A-2. Does not develop core values and May develop core values and mission Develops, promotes, and models Leads administrators to develop core mission statements for the school. statements but rarely uses them to commitment to core values that values and mission statements, share Mission and guide decision making. guide the development of a these statements with families and Core Values succinct, results-oriented mission the school district community, and statement and ongoing decision use them to guide decision making. Is able to model this element. making. IV-A-3. Plans and leads well-run and Leads administrator meetings that May lead administrator meetings that Plans and facilitates engaging lack clear purpose and/or are include both one-way informational engaging administrator meetings administrator team meetings in which Meetings primarily used for one-way updates and participatory activities that have clear purpose, focus on small groups of administrators learn informational updates. focused on matters of consequence, matters of consequence, and together and create solutions to but does not clearly establish norms. engage participants in a thoughtful instructional leadership issues. Team and productive series of has established norms for behavior conversations and deliberations. and consistently adheres to them. Establishes clear norms for Consistently evaluates the administrator team behavior. effectiveness of the administrator team meetings. Is able to model this element.



Indicator IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

| IV-B.<br>Elements              | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| IV-B-1. Policies and Practices | Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies, does not support administrators and staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or creates a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences. | Takes pride in having a diverse administration, faculty and/or student body, but some policies are not culturally sensitive; and/or provides limited resources for administrators to support the development of cultural proficiency. | Develops and implements culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Provides administrators with relevant resources to support them in building cultural proficiency and promotes a culture that affirms individual differences. | Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Empowers administrators with time, resources, and support to build cultural proficiency and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Is able to model this element. |

| Indicator IV-C                  | Indicator IV-C. Communications: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written and verbal communication skills. |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| IV-C.<br>Elements               | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |  |
| IV-C-1.<br>Communication Skills | Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times.                   | May demonstrate adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills but sometimes makes grammatical errors or has difficulty expressing ideas to stakeholders. | Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. | Demonstrates strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Is able to model this element. |  |



Indicator IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results.

Models these behaviors in the administrator's own practice.

| IV-D.<br>Elements                                     | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| IV-D-1.<br>Continuous<br>Learning of<br>Staff         | Accepts the practice of administrators working largely in isolation, without consideration of data and best practices, and/or discourages reflection among administrators, faculty and staff. | May encourage administrators to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and to use data and best practices to adapt practice but does not support administrators in these practices. | Leads all administrators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students. Ensures that administrators use data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results. | Models for administrators how to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and uses data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results. Supports all educators to work in teams as often as is feasible and appropriate. Is able to model this element. |
| IV-D-2.<br>Continuous<br>Learning of<br>Administrator | Does not reflect on personal practice or demonstrate new ways of thinking about administration and leadership.  | Occasionally reflects on personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and/or researches ways to improve efficiency and practice.   | Reflects on and improves personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and develops new approaches in order to improve efficiency and practice.   | Demonstrates openness and commitment to learning; reflects on personal practice; and relies on student data, current research, and best practice to improve own leadership. Is able to model this element.   |

Indicator IV-E. Shared Vision: Continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.

| IV-E.<br>Elements                       | Unsatisfactory   | Needs Improvement   | Proficient  | Exemplary  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| IV-E-1.<br>Shared Vision<br>Development | Does little to engage stakeholders in<br>the creation of a shared educational<br>vision, or the vision is disconnected<br>from college and career readiness,<br>civic engagement, and/or community<br>contributions. | Engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on some aspects of student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions. | At all grade levels, continuously engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions. | Leads administrators, staff, students of all ages, families, and community members to develop and internalize a shared educational vision around preparation for college and careers and responsible citizenship. Is able to model this element. |



Indicator IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.

| IV-F.<br>Elements                      | Unsatisfactory  | Needs Improvement   | Proficient   | Exemplary  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| IV-F-1.<br>Response to<br>Disagreement | Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not use appropriate, respectful, non-confrontational approaches.                                | May respond respectfully to disagreement and dissent, but responds inconsistently and does not always employ a non-confrontational approach | Employs a non-confrontational approach for responding respectfully and appropriately to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Models this practice for the administrator team. | Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Provides professional development for the administrator team to build these conflict resolution strategies. Is able to model this element. |
| IV-F-2.<br>Conflict<br>Resolution      | Does not address conflicts in a solution-oriented and/or respectful manner.   | May attempt to respectfully resolve conflicts as they arise, but employs only a limited range of strategies.                                | Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner. Models this behavior for the administrator team.  | Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner and empowers and supports administrators to use these approaches. Is able to model this element.   |
| IV-F-3.<br>Consensus<br>Building       | Does not attempt to build consensus within the district community, or attempts at consensus-building around critical school decisions are unsuccessful. | Employs a limited number of strategies to build consensus within the school district community, with varying degrees of success.            | Builds consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, employing a variety of strategies.   | Employs a variety of strategies to build consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, while encouraging dialogue and different points of view. Is able to model this element.  |