



		ry Graham								
		School Committee	Mat	Matina Madrick, Chair		1/30/25				
	Name		Signature		Date					
Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one for each set of goal[s].)										
Professional Practice Goal	(s)	□ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	X Met	□ Exceeded				
Student Learning Goal(s)		□ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	X Significant Progress	□ Met	□ Exceeded				
District Improvement Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	X Significant Progress	□ Met	□ Exceeded				

Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Reference Performance Ratings per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)

 Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide. 	Unsa tisfac tory	Need s Impr ovem ent	Prof icie nt	Exe mpla ry
Standard I: Instructional Leadership			Х	
Standard II: Management and Operations			Х	
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement			Х	
Standard IV: Professional Culture			X	

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3:	Rate Overall Summative	e Performance (<i>Based on Step 1 a</i>	nd Step 2 ratings; check one	.)
	☐ Unsatisfactory	□ Needs Improvement	X Proficient	□ Exemplary
Step 4:	Add Evaluator Commer	its		
Commen	ts and analysis are recommend	led for any rating but are required for an ove	erall summative rating of Exemplary,	Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.
Comm	ents:			
importa		perintendent goals, school improvement pla ful progress toward those goals. That progre his team to execute."		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and a th	_	and the subsequent reworking of the entire get for the Hanscom Schools is a large acc	• .	
	ham's District Goal of completi d the School Committee has b	ng the Hanscom contract is constrained by een kept up to date."	DoDEA's timing, but the administration	on's work on the contract has been well
		rship, Dr. Graham kept the District focused of budget and put in motion an accelerated of		ner-student interaction while he also





	should be SMART and	t learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progr ess	Signif icant Progr ess	Met	Exceed ed
Student Learning Goal	I-A, I-B, I-E	Oversee improvements to literacy intervention systems so that: at least 50% of K-2 students and at least 50% of 3-5 students identified as reading below benchmark in the fall will improve to reading at or above benchmark by the spring; and, at least 80% of students who are not reading at or above benchmark by the end of the year will demonstrate at least one full year's worth of growth. In addition, oversee a comprehensive literacy curriculum review and the development of a comprehensive plan to implement a substantially revised or new literacy curriculum K-8 for the 2025-26 school year.			Х		
Professional Practice Goal	I-E, III-A, III-C	In partnership with the School Committee and members of the District leadership team, provide the School Committee and community with clear, relevant, concise, and transparent information around key District topics, such as student learning, special education, budgeting, personnel, and operations. To that end, oversee the creation of consistent templates for School Committee presentations; the proactive development of a long-term schedule for School Committee presentations; the identification of high-value academic indicators around student learning; and the delivery of high-quality presentations throughout the year. Finally, ensure that information shared with the School Committee and the community reflects the incorporation of AIDE values across district systems and structures.				X	
District Improvement Goal 1	II-D, II-E, IV-	Oversee the development of a Hanscom School contract proposal resulting in the awarding of a new contract from DoDEA to Lincoln to maintain the District's stewardship of Hanscom School operations.			Х		
District Improvement Goal 2							
District Improvement Goal 3							

District Improvement Goal 4						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.							
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture				
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards				
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency				
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication				
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning				
I-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision				
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict				

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leade	ership			
Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	Е
 I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			х	
well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.			x	
well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.	□ □ and			
well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. Focus Indicator (check if yes) I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. Focus Indicator (check if yes) I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments	□ □ and		х	

	□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х	
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			х	
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresp descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on stu learning based on multiple measures of student lear growth, and achievement must be taken into accoundetermining a performance rating for this Standa			et on student dent learning, account when
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that as powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			Х	
,	SC members are impressed by the ambitious goals Dr. Graham has set in the areas of cur The accelerated pace of curriculum review and implementation is viewed positively by mo have expressed concerns that the speed may lead to incomplete implementation. The use of data- informed decision making has significantly improved under Dr. Graham's anticipate even more effective use of these tools moving forward. SC members would like to see data and/or goals around educator goals, observations and	st mem	bers; ho ship, an	owever, d meml	bers
"The met. "He a esse "Data	ructional leadership shows as a strength of Parry Graham and an overdue emphasis for LPS." goals themselves may not have been wholly achievable within a one-year timeframe, which could explain why the tiere There are pros and cons to the quick pace of the literacy curriculum review and adoption." also set ambitious SMART goals for student achievement in reading, and while we wait to see assessments, I notice new initial interaction of teacher and student." a-informed decision making moving toward exemplary – establishing target metrics, improving presentations, developing	w energy a	and attent	ion to the	
	lieve there is progress, but it is not clear that timely evaluations for all educators are occurring. As this has been an issu uations could be incorporated into next year's goals."	e in the pa	ıst, some	uata on t	eacner



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		U	NI	Р	E
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			X	
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	

II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		Х	
II-D.	Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х
The e	RALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.		Х	
_				

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

- Dr. Graham receives the highest praise for this standard, with key highlights including the successful management of the budget and the Hanscom contract.
- Other notable accomplishments include strategic hires and the transition of emergency preparedness responsibilities into the Operations domain.
- Across multiple areas, Dr. Graham is recognized as a thoughtful communicator and an effective negotiator with bargaining units.
- Concerns were raised regarding scheduling in the schools which may be a goal for next year.
- Faculty PD has been strong and creative however, SC Members are interested in more data around faculty goals, evaluations, etc.

"Dr. Graham has consistently engaged with the LEA in a proactive and thoughtful manner, demonstrating effective problem-solving skills and a commitment to navigating challenging situations constructively."

"Exemplary performance navigating complex laws, ethics, and policies: managing uncertainty around the Hanscom contract, educating the kids at FanCamp, thoughtful approach to needing to send out RIF notices because of delayed Hanscom contract, increased teacher observations."

"Exemplary performance on our fiscal systems: collaboration with Angela to go line-by-line through the budget was much needed and a great benefit to the district, strong process developing the FY26 budget."

"While the goal is not yet met, that is not due to anything within his control but rather the timing of the DoDEA RFP. His work around it has been exceptional in terms of communication and thoughtfulness."

"As previously stated more work needs to be done in order to arrange the schedule to meet the goal of providing tiered instruction so that it does not impact classroom instructional time."

"Dr. Graham's thoughtful and thorough approach to developing an effective response to the Hanscom contract solicitation has been outstanding in a time of uncertainty and tight timing and with pressing interests of staff and other town and surrounding agencies."

"I would appreciate more data on faculty evaluations, walk throughs, are there goals for faculty, staff and administration, etc."



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			Х	
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			X	
III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х	
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.			Х	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

- As reflected in School Committee comments—more so than in the ratings—Family and Community Engagement emerges as an area with both strengths and areas for growth.
- Dr. Graham's bi-weekly messages and his accessibility to families and students, such as through cafeteria visits, are noted and appreciated. However, there remains a sense that family engagement at the school level could be strengthened.
- His support for principal and building-based communications, as well as his engagement with base leadership, is viewed as a valuable asset.
- While feedback from families to School Committee members is anecdotal, it frequently centers on concerns related to student behavior and school safety.

"Very available to parents for meetings as requested"

"Despite availability, there is some family concern about behaviors and anecdotal dissatisfaction about how behaviors are resolved. It feels like we need to think through how to orchestrate a vibe shift in the family culture. How do we better make transparent the positive culture inside the school to ensure a few loud voices don't become the dominant concern in the town if unwarranted. Or, if behaviors and safety need to be addressed, how do we triage the specific problems that drive this situation and identify and implement viable solutions to those problems?"

"Keeping families informed is essential; bi-weekly messages and support for principals' newsletter and announcements have been steady."

"I am cognizant of the need to balance the delicate political atmosphere and the need to weigh many factors in decisions; however, there is also a responsibility to students and families currently in all parts of the district who may be feeling uncertainty as well. Sometimes, communication on the school level makes sense and sometimes communication from the district level is what is needed in order to reaffirm values, security for families, etc."

"Dr. Graham is accessible and fosters strong connections with base leadership, ensuring open lines of communication and collaboration that drive effective decision-making."

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	Е
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				Х
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			Х	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х	
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			Х	
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			Х	
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Х	
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			X	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

- School Committee members consistently rated Dr. Graham highly in the area of Professional Culture, with the majority of comments reflecting strong positive impressions.
- Several members noted his high standards, as demonstrated through the development and pursuit of the strategic plan and superintendent's goals—even in the face of challenges or resistance.
- Comments on conflict management were mixed: while Dr. Graham is seen as handling external pressures and conflicts exceptionally well, concerns remain about how conflicts within schools are being addressed, suggesting this may warrant closer attention.

"He is deeply concerned with the students and ensuring that their voices and points of view are seen."

"I would like to see Dr. Graham have a more active voice in conflict management."

"Managing conflict:

- Always student-first when families bring concerns
- Handled the community's request for a DEI letter effectively
- Well-prepared and professional in all conversations about the Hanscom contract, including meetings with Select Board"

"Dr.Graham's leadership empowered staff to successfully implement plans and achieve their objectives."

"I also see strength in his support and trust in shared leadership in innovations such as Hanscom teacher "looping," budget realignment, academic indicator development, and LASH and STEM Coordinator positions."

"Drawing on resources of Dr. Amante-Jackson and Dr. Saxon to inspire and empower adults in the classroom shows Dr. Graham's and his team's sensitivity to staff needs and to creating enthusiasm for work ahead."

"It is not clear that, at present, all share or are on board with a shared vision. Some of that may be communication, and some may simply be the difficulty of such a task."